1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER, State Bar No. 101336	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
6	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8.	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2013 - 139	
11	JOHN PATRICK VALARIK	
12	3131 Dwight Street ACCUSATION	
13	San Diego, CA 92104	
14	Registered Nurse License No. 783815	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about September 15, 2010, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
23	Nurse License Number 783815 to John Patrick Valarik (Respondent). The Registered Nurse	
	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
24		
25	expire on July 31, 2014, unless renewed.	
26		
27	///	
28	111	
	1	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
 - 6. Section 2811(b) states:

Each such license not renewed in accordance with this section shall expire but may within a period of eight years thereafter be reinstated upon payment of the biennial renewal fee and penalty fee required by this chapter and upon submission of such proof of the applicant's qualifications as may be required by the board, except that during such eight-year period no examination shall be required as a condition for the reinstatement of any such expired license which has lapsed solely by reason of non-payment of the renewal fee. After the expiration of such eight-year period the board may require as a condition of reinstatement that the applicant pass such examination as it deems necessary to determine his present fitness to resume the practice of professional nursing.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

11.7

27 | ///

28 | ///

	-]]
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
12	
13	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0.	
1	
2	
23	
.4	
25	

26

27

28

8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

10. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.

11. Section 2762 of the Code states:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(August 4, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Alcohol-Related Reckless Driving on May 7, 2011)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 2761(f) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about August 4, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. John Patrick Valarik*, in the San Diego County Superior Court, Central Division, in Case No. M131829, Respondent was convicted on his guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code section 23103(a) per Vehicle Code section 23103.5 (alcohol-related reckless driving), a lesser included offense of section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or more), a misdemeanor. As a result of a plea agreement, counts for violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) (DUI), 23152(b) (driving with blood alcohol content of 0.08% or more), and 12500(a) (unlicensed driver), misdemeanors, were dismissed.
- b. As a result of the above conviction, the Court placed Respondent on three (3) years summary probation and ordered that Respondent violate no laws, pay various fines and fees, and enroll in and complete a 3-month First Offender Program and a MADD Victim Impact Panel.
- c. The circumstances that led to Respondent's conviction are that on May 7, 2011, at approximately 3:40 a.m., California Highway Patrol Officers, while on routine patrol, observed Respondent's vehicle traveling on I-5 in San Diego, south of Old Town Avenue in the #2 lane swerving back and forth. Officers initiated a traffic enforcement stop and Respondent complied by yielding to the right and exiting the freeway at Old Town Avenue. When officers made contact with the driver (Respondent), officers observed that he had red, watery eyes and was lethargic. Officers smelled the odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from within the vehicle. Respondent produced a Pennsylvania driver's license. Officers asked Respondent how much alcohol he had consumed, and Respondent told officers that he had consumed three drinks. Officers directed Respondent to exit his vehicle and walk to the right front side of the patrol

vehicle. Officers instructed Respondent to perform a series of field sobriety tests, which he failed. Respondent was placed under arrest for driving under the influence of alcohol and was transported to the San Diego County Jail where officers administered a breath test, which resulted in a reading of 0.14% BAC. A second breath sample resulted in a reading of 0.13% BAC. Respondent was booked at the San Diego County Jail.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous or Injurious to Self or Others)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 2762, subdivision (b), in that on May 7, 2011, he used alcohol to an extent or in a manner that was dangerous to himself and the public when he drove a motor vehicle with a significantly high BAC, as set forth in paragraph 15, above, which is incorporated here by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of an Alcohol-Related Crime)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 2762, subdivision (c), in that he was convicted of a criminal offense involving the consumption of alcohol, as set forth in paragraph 15, above, which is incorporated here by reference.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 18. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent for the violations alleged above, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1445, Complainant alleges:
- a. In a pre-licensure letter to the Board dated July 12, 2010, Respondent disclosed the following Pennsylvania convictions:
- (1) On or about September 18, 1994, Respondent was arrested in Brackenridge,
 Pennsylvania, for DUI, which resulted in a motor vehicle accident. Respondent was convicted on
 his plea of guilty and sentenced to two days in the county jail and was ordered to pay various